arXiv:1510.04641v1 [math.OC] 15 Oct 2015

# Modified Fejér Sequences and Applications*

Junhong Lin†, Lorenzo Rosasco†,°, Silvia Villa†, and Ding-Xuan Zhou*

†*LCSL, Istituto Italiano di Tecnologia and Massachusetts Institute of Technology, Cambridge, MA 02139, USA*
°*DIBRIS, Universitá degli Studi di Genova, Genova 16146, Italy*
**Department of Mathematics, City University of Hong Kong, Kowloon, Hong Kong, China*

October 16, 2015

**Abstract**

In this note, we propose and study the notion of modified Fejér sequences. Within a Hilbert space setting, we show that it provides a unifying framework to prove convergence rates for objective function values of several optimization algorithms. In particular, our results apply to forward-backward splitting algorithm, incremental subgradient proximal algorithm, and the Douglas-Rachford splitting method including and generalizing known results.

**Keywords.** Convex optimization, rate of convergence of objective function values, Fejér sequences, subgradient method, proximal splitting methods

**AMS Mathematics Subject Classification:** 65K05, 90C25, 90C52

## 1 Introduction

The notion of Fejér monotonicity captures essential properties of the iterates generated by a wide range of optimization methods and provides a common framework to analyze their

*Email address: jhlin5@hotmail.com; lrosasco@mit.edu; silvia.villa@iit.it; mazhou@cityu.edu.hk. This material is based upon work supported by the Center for Brains, Minds and Machines (CBMM), funded by NSF STC award CCF-1231216. The work by D. X. Zhou described in this paper is supported by a grant from the NSFC/RGC Joint Research Scheme [RGC Project No. N_CityU120/14 and NSFC Project No. 11461161006]. L. R. acknowledges the financial support of the Italian Ministry of Education, University and Research FIRB project RBFR12M3AC. S. V. is member of the Gruppo Nazionale per l'Analisi Matematica, la Probabilità e le loro Applicazioni (GNAMPA) of the Istituto Nazionale di Alta Matematica (INdAM).

convergence [8]. Quasi-Fejér monotonicity is a relaxation of the above notion that allows for an additional error term [15, 10]. In this paper, we propose and study a novel, related notion to analyze the convergence of the objective function values, in addition to that of the iterates. More precisely, we modify the notion of quasi-Fejér monotonicity, by adding a term involving the objective function and say that a sequence satisfying the new requirement is modified Fejér monotone (modified Fejér for short). In this paper, we show the usefulness of this new notion of monotonicity by deriving convergence rates for several optimization algorithms in a unified way. Based on this approach, we not only recover known results, such as the sublinear convergence rate for the proximal forward-backward splitting algorithm, but also derive new results. Interestingly, our results show that for projected subgradient, incremental subgradient proximal, and Douglas-Rachford algorithm, considering the last iteration leads to essentially the same convergence rate as considering the best iterate selection rule [26, 25], or ergodic means [5, 27], as typically done.

# 2 Modified Fejér Sequences

Throughout this paper, we assume that $f : \mathcal{H} \to ]-\infty, \infty]$ is a proper function on $\mathcal{H}$. Assume that the set

$$\mathcal{X} = \{z \in \mathcal{H} \,|\, f(z) = \min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x)\}$$

is nonempty. We are interested in solving the following optimization problem

$$f_* = \min_{x \in \mathcal{H}} f(x). \tag{2.1}$$

Given $x \in \mathcal{H}$ and a subset $S \subset \mathcal{H}$, $d(x, S)$ denotes the distance between $x$ and $S$, i.e., $d(x, S) = \inf_{x' \in S} \|x - x'\|$. $\mathbb{R}_+$ is the set of all non-negative real numbers and $\mathbb{N}^* = \mathbb{N} \setminus \{0\}$. For any $S \subset \mathcal{H}$, we denote by $\mathbf{1}_{\{.\}}$ the characteristic function of $S$.

The following definition introduces the key notion we propose in this paper.

**Definition 1.** *A sequence $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ is modified Fejér monotone with respect to the target function $f$ and the sequence $\{(\eta_t, \xi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$, if*

$$(\forall x \in \mathrm{dom} f) \qquad \|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 \leq \|x_t - x\|^2 - \eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x)) + \xi_t. \tag{2.2}$$

**Remark 2.1.**

*(i) Choosing $x \in \mathcal{X}$ in (2.2), we get*

$$\eta_t f(x_t) \leq \xi_t + \eta_t f_* + \|x_t - x\|^2 < \infty.$$

*This implies that $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathrm{dom} f$.*

*(ii) By setting $x = x_t$ in (2.2), a direct consequence is that, for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$\|x_{t+1} - x_t\|^2 \leq \xi_t. \tag{2.3}$$

*(iii) All the subsequent results hold if condition* (2.2) *is replaced by the following weaker condition*

$$(\forall x \in \mathcal{X} \cup \{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}) \quad \|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 \leq \|x_t - x\|^2 - \eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x)) + \xi_t. \tag{2.4}$$

*However, in the proposed applications, condition* (2.2) *is always satisfied for every $x \in \mathrm{dom} f$.*

In the following remark we discuss the relation with classical Fejér sequences.

**Remark 2.2** (Comparison with quasi-Fejér sequences)**.**
*Let $C$ be a nonempty subset of $\mathcal{H}$. If $\sum_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \xi_t < +\infty$, Definition 1 applied to the function $f + \iota_C$, implies that the sequence $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ is quasi-Fejér monotone with respect to $C$ [15, 10]. Indeed,* (2.2) *implies*

$$(\forall x \in C) \qquad \|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 \leq \|x_t - x\|^2 + \xi_t.$$

*Note that, in the study of convergence properties of quasi-Fejér sequences corresponding to a minimization problem, the property is considered with respect to the set of solutions $\mathcal{X}$, while here we will consider modified Fejér monotonicity for a general constraint set or the entire space $\mathcal{H}$.*

We next present two main results to show how modified Fejér sequences are useful to study the convergence of optimization algorithms. The first result shows that if a sequence is modified Fejér monotone, one can bound its corresponding excess function values in terms of $\{(\eta_t, \xi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ explicitly.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a modified Fejér sequence with respect to $f$ and $\{(\eta_t, \xi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. Let $\{\eta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a non-increasing sequence. Let $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 1$. Then*

$$\eta_T(f(x_T) - f_*) \leq \frac{1}{T} d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2 + \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} \xi_t + \xi_T. \tag{2.5}$$

*Proof.* Let $\{u_j\}_{j\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence in $\mathbb{R}$ and let $k \in \{1, \cdots, T-1\}$. We have

$$\begin{aligned}
&\frac{1}{k}\sum_{j=T-k+1}^{T} u_j - \frac{1}{k+1}\sum_{j=T-k}^{T} u_j \\
=&\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\left\{(k+1)\sum_{j=T-k+1}^{T} u_j - k\sum_{j=T-k}^{T} u_j\right\} \\
=&\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\sum_{j=T-k+1}^{T}(u_j - u_{T-k}).
\end{aligned}$$

Summing over $k = 1, \cdots, T-1$, and rearranging terms, we get

$$u_T = \frac{1}{T}\sum_{j=1}^{T} u_j + \sum_{k=1}^{T-1}\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\sum_{j=T-k+1}^{T}(u_j - u_{T-k}). \tag{2.6}$$

For any $x \in \mathrm{dom} f$, choosing $(\forall t \in \mathbb{N})\ u_t = \eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x))$ and rearranging terms, we have the following error decomposition [18]:

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_T(f(x_T) - f(x)) &= \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x)) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{T-1}\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\sum_{t=T-k+1}^{T}\eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x_{T-k})) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{T-1}\frac{1}{k+1}\left[\frac{1}{k}\sum_{t=T-k+1}^{T}\eta_t - \eta_{T-k}\right]\{f(x_{T-k}) - f(x)\}.
\end{aligned}$$

Let $x = x_* \in \mathcal{X}$. Since $\{\eta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ is a non-increasing sequence and $f(x_{T-k}) - f_* \geq 0$, the last term of the above inequality is non-positive. Thus, we derive that

$$\begin{aligned}
\eta_T(f(x_{T+1}) - f_*) &\leq \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x_*)) \\
&+ \sum_{k=1}^{T-1}\frac{1}{k(k+1)}\sum_{t=T-k+1}^{T}\eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x_{T-k})).
\end{aligned} \tag{2.7}$$

For every $j \in \{1, \ldots, T\}$, and for every $x \in \mathrm{dom} f$, summing up (2.2) over $t = j, \cdots, T$, we get

$$\sum_{t=j}^{T}\eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x)) \leq \|x_j - x\|^2 + \sum_{t=j}^{T}\xi_t. \tag{2.8}$$

The above inequality with $x = x_*$ and $j = 1$ implies

$$\frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\eta_t(f(x_t) - f(x_*)) \leq \frac{1}{T}\|x_1 - x_*\|^2 + \frac{1}{T}\sum_{t=1}^{T}\xi_t. \tag{2.9}$$

Inequality (2.8) with $x = x_{T-k}$ and $j = T - k$ yields

$$\begin{aligned}
&\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{t=T-k+1}^{T} \eta_t (f(x_t) - f(x_{T-k})) \\
= &\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{t=T-k}^{T} \eta_t (f(x_t) - f(x_{T-k})) \\
\leq &\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{t=T-k}^{T} \xi_t. \qquad (2.10)
\end{aligned}$$

Exchanging the order in the sum, we obtain

$$\begin{aligned}
\sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \sum_{t=T-k}^{T} \xi_t &= \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \sum_{k=T-t}^{T-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \xi_t + \sum_{k=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{k(k+1)} \xi_T \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \left( \frac{1}{T-t} - \frac{1}{T} \right) \xi_t + \left( 1 - \frac{1}{T} \right) \xi_T \\
&= \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} \xi_t + \xi_T - \frac{1}{T} \sum_{t=1}^{T} \xi_t. \qquad (2.11)
\end{aligned}$$

The result follows by plugging (2.9),(2.10), and (2.11) into (2.7). □

In the special case when, for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, $\xi_t = 0$, we derive the following result.

**Corollary 2.4.** *Let $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathcal{H}$ be a modified Fejér sequence with respect to $f$ and a sequence $\{(\eta_t, \xi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. Assume that $\xi_t = 0$ for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$, and $\{\eta_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ is non-increasing. Let $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 1$. Then*

$$f(x_T) - f_* \leq \frac{1}{\eta_T T} d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2.$$

The second main result shows how to derive explicit rates for the objective function values corresponding to a modified Fejér sequence with respect to polynomially decaying sequences $\{(\eta_t, \xi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathbb{R}^2_+$. Interestingly, the following result (as well as the previous ones) does not require convexity of $f$.

**Theorem 2.5.** *Let $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset C$ be a modified Fejér sequence with respect to a target function $f$ and $\{(\eta_t, \xi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset \mathbb{R}^2_+$. Let $\eta \in ]0, +\infty[$, let $\theta_1 \in [0, 1[$, and set $\eta_t = \eta t^{-\theta_1}$. Let $(\theta_2, \xi) \in \mathbb{R}^2_+$ and suppose that $\xi_t \leq \xi t^{-\theta_2}$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}$. Let $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \geq 3$. Then*

$$f(x_T) - f_* \leq \frac{d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2}{\eta} T^{\theta_1 - 1} + \frac{\xi c_{\theta_2}}{\eta} (\log T)^{\mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_2 \leq 1\}}} T^{\theta_1 - \min\{\theta_2, 1\}}. \qquad (2.12)$$

*Here,*

$$c\colon \mathbb{R}_+ \to \mathbb{R}_+, \quad \theta_2 \mapsto c_{\theta_2} = \begin{cases} 5 + \dfrac{2}{1-\theta_2} & \text{if } \theta_2 < 1, \\ 9 & \text{if } \theta_2 = 1, \\ \dfrac{2^{\theta_2} + 3\theta_2 - 1}{\theta_2 - 1} & \text{if } \ \theta_2 > 1. \end{cases} \tag{2.13}$$

To prove this result, we will use Theorem 2.3 as well as the following lemma.

**Lemma 2.6.** *Let $q \in \mathbb{R}_+$ and $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T \geq 3$. Then*

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} t^{-q} \leq \begin{cases} (4 + 2/(1-q))\, T^{-q} \log T, & \text{when } q < 1, \\ 8T^{-1} \log T, & \text{when } q = 1, \\ (2^q + 2q)/(q-1) T^{-1}, & \text{when } q > 1, \end{cases}$$

*Proof.* We split the sum into two parts

$$\begin{aligned} \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} t^{-q} &= \sum_{T/2 \leq t \leq T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} t^{-q} + \sum_{1 \leq t < T/2} \frac{1}{T-t} t^{-q} \\ &\leq 2^q T^{-q} \sum_{T/2 \leq t \leq T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} + 2T^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq t < T/2} t^{-q} \\ &= 2^q T^{-q} \sum_{1 \leq t \leq T/2} t^{-1} + 2T^{-1} \sum_{1 \leq t < T/2} t^{-q}. \end{aligned}$$

Applying, for $T \geq 3$,

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T} t^{-\theta_2} \leq 1 + \int_1^T u^{-\theta_2} du \leq \begin{cases} T^{1-\theta_2}/(1-\theta_2), & \text{when } \theta_2 < 1, \\ 2 \log T, & \text{when } \theta_2 = 1, \\ \theta_2/(\theta_2 - 1), & \text{when } \theta_2 > 1, \end{cases}$$

we get

$$\sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} t^{-q} \leq 2^{q+1} T^{-q} \log T + \begin{cases} (2/(1-q)) T^{-q}, & \text{when } q < 1, \\ 4T^{-1} \log T, & \text{when } q = 1, \\ 2qT^{-1}/(q-1), & \text{when } q > 1, \end{cases}$$

which leads to the desired result by using $T^{-q+1} \log T \leq 1/(2(q-1))$ when $q > 1$. □

Now, we are ready to prove Theorem 2.5.

*Proof of Theorem 2.5.* It follows from Theorem 2.3 that (2.5) holds. Substituting $\eta_t = \eta t^{-\theta_1}$, $\xi_t \leq \xi t^{-\theta_2}$,

$$\eta T^{-\theta_1} (f(x_T) - f_*) \ \leq \ \frac{1}{T} d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2 + \xi \sum_{t=1}^{T-1} \frac{1}{T-t} t^{-\theta_2} + \xi T^{-\theta_2}.$$

Lemma 2.6 yields

$$\eta T^{-\theta_1}(f(x_T) - f_*) \leq \frac{1}{T} d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2 + \xi c_{\theta_2} (\log T)^{\mathbf{1}_{\{\theta_2 \leq 1\}}} T^{-\min\{\theta_2, 1\}}.$$

The results follows dividing both sides by $\eta T^{-\theta_1}$. □

# 3 Applications in Convex Optimization

In this section, we apply previous results to some convex optimization algorithms, including forward-backward splitting, projected subgradient, incremental proximal subgradient, and Douglas-Rachford splitting methods. Convergence rates for the objective function values are obtained by using Theorem 2.5. The key observation is that the sequences generated by these algorithms are modified Fejér monotone.

Throughout this section, we assume that $\mathcal{H}$ is a Hilbert space, and $f : \mathcal{H} \to ]-\infty, \infty]$ is a proper, lower semicontinuous convex function. Recall that the subdifferential of $f$ at $x \in \mathcal{H}$ is

$$\partial f(x) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \ (\forall y \in \mathcal{H}) \ \ f(x) + \langle u, y - x \rangle \leq f(y)\}. \tag{3.1}$$

The elements of the subdifferential of $f$ at $x$ are called subgradients of $f$ at $x$. More generally, for $\epsilon \in ]0, +\infty[$, the $\epsilon$-subdifferential of $f$ at $x$ is the set $\partial_\epsilon f(x)$ defined by

$$\partial_\epsilon f(x) = \{u \in \mathcal{H} : \ (\forall y \in \mathcal{H}) \ \ f(x) + \langle u, y - x \rangle - \epsilon \leq f(y)\}. \tag{3.2}$$

The proximity operator of $f$ [20] is

$$\operatorname{prox}_f(x) = \operatorname*{argmin}_{y \in \mathcal{H}} \left\{ f(y) + \frac{1}{2}\|y - x\|^2 \right\}. \tag{3.3}$$

## 3.1 Forward-Backward Splitting

In this subsection, we consider a forward-backward splitting algorithm for solving Problem (2.1), with objective function

$$f = l + r \tag{3.4}$$

where $r \colon \mathbb{R} \to ]-\infty, \infty]$ and $l \colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ are proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex. Since $l$ is real-valued, we have $\operatorname{dom} \partial l = \mathcal{H}$ [2, Proposition 16.14].

**Algorithm 1.** *Given $x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$, a sequence of stepsizes $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \subset ]0, +\infty[$, and a sequence $\{\epsilon_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}} \subset [0, +\infty[$ set, for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$x_{t+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_t r}(x_t - \alpha_t g_t) \tag{3.5}$$

*with $g_t \in \partial_{\epsilon_t} l(x_t)$.*

The forward-backward splitting algorithm has been well studied [28, 7, 9, 6] and a review of this algorithm can be found in [11] under the assumption that $l$ is differentiable with a Lipschitz continuous gradient. Convergence is proved using arguments based on Fejér monotonicity of the generated sequences [10]. Under the assumption that $l$ is a differentiable function with Lipschitz continuous gradient, the algorithm exhibits a sublinear convergence rate $O(T^{-1})$ on the objective $f$ [3]. If $l$ is not smooth, the algorithm has been studied first in [24], and has a convergence rate $O(T^{-1/2})$, considering the best point selection rule [27]. Our objective here is to provide a convergence rate for the algorithm considering the last iteration, which shares the same rate (up-to logarithmic factors) and to allow the use of $\epsilon$-subgradients, instead of subgradients.

**Theorem 3.1.** *Let $\alpha \in ]0,+\infty[$, let $\theta \in ]0,1[$, and let, for every $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\alpha_t = \alpha t^{-\theta}$. Let $\epsilon \in ]0,+\infty[$, $\{\epsilon_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subset [0,+\infty]$, and assume that $\epsilon_t \leq \epsilon\alpha_t$. Let $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be the sequence generated by Algorithm 1. Assume that there exists $B \in ]0,+\infty[$ such that*

$$(\forall g \in \partial l_{\epsilon_t}(x_t) \cup \partial r(x_t)) \quad \|g\| \leq B, \tag{3.6}$$

*and let $c$ be defined as in* (2.13)*. Let $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 3$. Then*

$$f(x_T) - f_* \leq \frac{d(x_1,\mathcal{X})^2}{2\alpha} T^{\theta-1} + \alpha(5B^2+\epsilon)c_{2\theta}(\log T)^{\mathbf{1}_{\{2\theta\leq 1\}}} T^{-\min\{\theta,1-\theta\}}.$$

*Proof.* Let $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$. By Fermat's rule (see e.g. [2, Theorem 16.2]),

$$0 \in x_{t+1} - x_t + \alpha_t g_t + \alpha_t \partial r(x_{t+1}).$$

Thus, there exists $q_{t+1} \in \partial r(x_{t+1})$, such that $x_{t+1}$ in (3.15) can be written as

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha_t g_t - \alpha_t q_{t+1}. \tag{3.7}$$

Note that $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subset \mathrm{dom} f$ and let $x \in \mathrm{dom} f$. Using (3.7) and expanding $\|x_{t+1} - x\|^2$, we get

$$\|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 = \|x_t - x\|^2 + \alpha_t^2\|g_t + q_{t+1}\|^2 - 2\alpha_t\langle x_t - x, g_t\rangle - 2\alpha_t\langle x_t - x, q_{t+1}\rangle. \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.6),

$$\alpha_t^2\|g_t + q_{t+1}\|^2 \leq 4\alpha_t^2 B^2. \tag{3.9}$$

By (3.2),

$$\langle x_t - x, g_t\rangle \geq l(x_t) - l(x) - \epsilon_t, \tag{3.10}$$

and convexity of $r$ implies

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x_t - x, q_{t+1}\rangle &= \langle x_t - x_{t+1}, q_{t+1}\rangle + \langle x_{t+1} - x, q_{t+1}\rangle \\ &\geq \langle x_t - x_{t+1}, q_{t+1}\rangle + r(x_{t+1}) - r(x). \end{aligned}$$

Using (3.7) and then applying Cauchy inequality,

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_t - x, q_{t+1}\rangle &\geq \langle x_t - x_{t+1}, q_{t+1}\rangle + r(x_{t+1}) - r(x)\\ &= \alpha_t\langle g_t, q_{t+1}\rangle + \alpha_t\|q_{t+1}\|^2 + r(x_{t+1}) - r(x)\\ &\geq -\alpha_t\|g_t\|\|q_{t+1}\| + r(x_{t+1}) - r(x)\\ &\geq -\alpha_t B^2 + r(x_{t+1}) - r(x)\\ &= -\alpha_t B^2 + [r(x_t) - r(x)] + [r(x_{t+1}) - r(x_t)].\end{aligned}$$

Let $q_t \in \partial r(x_t)$. By convexity, $r(x_{t+1}) - r(x_t) \geq \langle x_{t+1} - x_t, q_t\rangle$. Moreover, recalling the expression in (3.7), we get

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x_t - x, q_{t+1}\rangle &\geq -\alpha_t B^2 + [r(x_t) - r(x)] + \langle x_{t+1} - x_t, q_t\rangle\\ &= -\alpha_t B^2 + [r(x_t) - r(x)] - \alpha_t\langle g_t + q_{t+1}, q_t\rangle\\ &\geq -\alpha_t B^2 + [r(x_t) - r(x)] - \alpha_t(\|g_t\| + \|q_{t+1}\|)\|q_t\|\\ &\geq -\alpha_t B^2 + [r(x_t) - r(x)] - 2\alpha_t B^2. \qquad (3.11)\end{aligned}$$

It follows from (3.8), (3.9), (3.10), and (3.11) that

$$\begin{aligned}\|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 &\leq \|x_t - x\|^2 - 2\alpha_t[l(x_t) - l(x)] - 2\alpha_t[r(x_t) - r(x)] + 10\alpha_t^2 B^2 + 2\alpha_t\epsilon_t\\ &= \|x_t - x\|^2 - 2\alpha_t[f(x_t) - f(x)] + \alpha_t^2(10B^2 + 2\epsilon)\end{aligned}$$

Thus, $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ is a modified Fejér sequence with respect to the target function $f$ and $\{(2\alpha_t, (10B^2 + 2\epsilon)\alpha_t^2)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$. The statement follows from Theorem 2.5, applied with $\theta_1 = \theta$, $\theta_2 = 2\theta$, $\eta = 2\alpha$ and $\xi = (10B^2 + 2\epsilon)\alpha$. □

The following remark collects some comments on the previous result.

**Remark 3.2.**

1. *Setting $\theta = 1/2$, we get a convergence rate $O(T^{-1/2}\log T)$ for forward-backward algorithm with nonsummable diminishing stepsizes, considering the last iteration.*

2. *In Theorem 3.1, the assumption on bounded approximate subgradients, which is equivalent to Lipschitz continuity of $l$ and $r$, is satisfied for some practical optimization problems. For example, when $r$ is the indicator function of a closed, bounded, and convex set $D \subset \mathbb{R}^N$, it follows that $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded, which implies $\{g_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ is bounded as well [1]. For general cases, similar results may be obtained by imposing a growth condition on $\partial f$, using a similar approach to that in [18] to bound the sequence of subgradients.*

If the function $l$ in (3.4) is differentiable, with a Lipschitz differentiable gradient, we recover the well-known $O(1/T)$ convergence rate for the objective function values.

**Proposition 3.3.** *[3, Theorem 3.1] Let $\beta \in [0, +\infty[$ and assume that $\nabla l$ is $\beta$-Lipschitz continuous. Consider Algorithm 1 with $\epsilon = 0$ and $\alpha_t = \beta$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Then, for every $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 1$*

$$f(x_t) - f_* \leq \frac{\beta d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2}{2T} \tag{3.12}$$

*Proof.* It follows from [3, Equation 3.6] that

$$(\forall t \in \mathbb{N}^*) \quad \frac{2}{\beta}(f(x_t) - f_*) \leq \|x_{t+1} - x_*\|^2 - \|x_t - x_*\|^2. \tag{3.13}$$

Thus, $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ is a modified Fejér sequence with respect to the target function $f$ and the sequence $\{(\eta_t, \xi_t)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ with $(\forall t \in \mathbb{N})\ \eta_t = 2/\beta$ and $\xi_t = 0$. The statement follows from Corollary 2.4. □

## 3.2 Projected approximate subgradient method

Let $D$ be a convex and closed subset of $\mathcal{H}$, and let $\iota_D$ be the indicator function of $D$. In this subsection, we consider Problem (2.1) with objective function given by

$$f = l + \iota_D \tag{3.14}$$

where $l\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ is proper, lower semicontinuous, and convex. It is clear that (3.14) is a special case of (3.4) corresponding to a given choice of $r$. The forward-backward algorithm in this case reduces to the following projected subgradient method (see e.g. [26, 25, 5] and references therein), which allows to use $\epsilon$-subgradients, see [1, 8].

**Algorithm 2.** *Given $x_1 \in \mathcal{H}$, a sequence of stepsizes $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset ]0, +\infty[$, and a sequence $\{\epsilon_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}} \subset [0, +\infty[$, set, for every $t \in \mathbb{N}$,*

$$x_{t+1} = P_D(x_t - \alpha_t g_t) \tag{3.15}$$

*with $g_t \in \partial_{\epsilon_t} l(x_t)$.*

The algorithm has been studied using different rules for choosing the stepsizes. Here, as a corollary of Theorem 3.1, we derive the convergence rate for the objective function values, for a nonsummable diminishing stepsize.

**Theorem 3.4.** *For some $\alpha_1 > 0$, $\epsilon \geq 0$ and $\theta \in [0, 1)$, let $\alpha_t = \eta_1 t^{-\theta}$ and $\epsilon_t \leq \epsilon\alpha_t$ for all $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Let $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}}$ be a sequence generated by Algorithm 2. Assume that for all $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\|g_t\| \leq B$. Then, for every $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 3$*

$$f(x_T) - f^* \leq \frac{d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2}{2\alpha_1} T^{\theta-1} + \alpha_1(B^2 + 2\epsilon)\widetilde{c}_{2\theta}(\log T)^{\mathbf{1}_{\{2\theta\leq 1\}}} T^{-\min(\theta, 1-\theta)}$$

Choosing $\theta = 1/2$, we get a convergence rate of order $O(T^{-1/2} \log T)$ for projected approximate subgradient methods with nonsummable diminishing stepsizes, which is optimal up to a log factor without any further assumption on $f$ [12, 23]. Since the subgradient method is not a descent method, a common approach keeps track of the best point found so far, i.e., the one with smallest function value:

$$(\forall T \in \mathbb{N}^*) \qquad b_T = \operatorname*{argmin}_{1 \leq t \leq T} f(x_t).$$

Projected subgradient method with diminishing stepsizes of the form $\{\alpha t^{-\theta}\}_t$, with $\theta \in ]0, 1]$, satisfies $b_T - f_* = O(T^{-1/2})$. Our result shows that considering the last iterate for projected approximate subgradient method essentially leads to the same convergence rate, up to a logarithmic factor, as the one corresponding to the best iterate, even in the cases that the function value may not decrease at each iteration. To the best of our knowledge, our result is the first of this kind, without any assumption on strong convexity of $f$, or on a conditioning number with respect to subgradients (as in [16] using stepsizes $\{\gamma_t/\|g_t\|\}_t$). Note that, using nonsummable diminishing stepsizes, convergence rate $O(T^{-1/2})$ was shown, but only for a subsequens of $\{x_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}^*}$ [1]. Finally, let us mention that using properties of quasi-Fejér sequences, convergence properties were proved in [8].

## 3.3 Incremental Subgradient Proximal Algorithm

In this subsection, we consider an incremental subgradient proximal algorithm [4, 21] for solving (2.1), with objective function $f$ given by, for some $m \in \mathbb{N}^*$,

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m} (l_i + r_i),$$

where for each $i$, both $l_i : \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $r_i : \mathcal{H} \to ]-\infty, +\infty]$ are convex, proper, and lower semicontinuous. The algorithm is similar to the proximal subgradient method, the main difference being that at each iteration, $x_t$ is updated incrementally, through a sequence of $m$ steps.

**Algorithm 3.** *Let $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Given $x_t \in \mathcal{H}$, an iteration of the incremental proximal subgradient algorithm generates $x_{t+1}$ according to the recursion,*

$$x_{t+1} = \psi_t^m, \tag{3.16}$$

*where $\psi_t^m$ is obtained at the end of a cycle, namely as the last step of the recursion*

$$\psi_t^0 = x_t, \qquad \psi_t^i = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_t r_i}(\psi_t^{i-1} - \alpha_t g_t^i), \qquad \forall g_t^i \in \partial l_i(\psi_t^{i-1}), \qquad i = 1, \cdots, m \tag{3.17}$$

*for a suitable sequence of stepsizes $\{\alpha_t\}_{t \in \mathbb{N}^*} \subset ]0, +\infty[$.*

Several versions of incremental subgradient proximal algorithms have been studied in [4], where convergence results for various stepsizes rules and both for stochastic of cyclic selection of the components are given. Concerning the function values, the results are stated in terms of the best iteration. See also [22] for the study of the special case of incremental subgradient methods under different stepsizes rules. The paper [17] provides convergence results using approximate subgrdients instead of gradients.

In this section, we derive a sublinear convergence rate for the incremental subgradient proximal algorithm in a straightforward way, relying on the properties of modified Fejér sequences assuming a boundedness assumption on the subdifferentials, already used in [22].

**Theorem 3.5.** *Let $\alpha \in ]0, +\infty[$, let $\theta \in ]0, 1[$, and let, for every $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, $\alpha_t = \alpha t^{-\theta}$. Let $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be the sequence generated by Algorithm 3. Let $B \in ]0, +\infty[$ be such that*

$$(\forall t \in \mathbb{N}^*)(\forall g \in \partial l_i(x_t) \cup \partial r_i(x_t)) \qquad \|g\| \leq B,$$

*and let $c$ be defined as in* (2.13)*. Then, for every $T \in \mathbb{N}^*$,*

$$f(x_T) - f_* \leq \frac{d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2}{2\alpha} T^{\theta-1} + \frac{\alpha(4m+5)mB^2}{2} c_{2\theta} (\log T)^{\mathbf{1}_{\{2\theta\leq 1\}}} T^{-\min\{\theta, 1-\theta\}}.$$

*Proof.* It was shown in [4, Proposition 3 (Equation 27)] that,

$$\|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 \leq \|x_t - x\|^2 - 2\alpha_t[f(x_t) - f(x)] + \alpha_t^2 (4m+5)\, mB^2.$$

Thus, $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ is a modified Fejér sequence with respect to the target function $f$, and $\{(2\alpha_t, \alpha_t^2 (4m+5)\, mB^2)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$. The proof is concluded by applying Theorem 2.5 with $\theta_1 = \theta, \theta_2 = 2\theta$, $\eta = 2\alpha$ and $\xi = \alpha^2 (4m+5)\, mB^2$. □

An immediate consequence of Theorem 3.5, is that the choice $\theta = 1/2$ yields a convergence rate of order $O(T^{-1/2} \log T)$.

As a corollary of Theorem 3.5, we derive convergence rates for the projected incremental subgradient method. Analogously to what we have done for the forward-backward algorithm in Section 3.1, Theorem 3.5 can be extended to analyze convergence of the approximate and incremental subgradient method in [17].

## 3.4 Douglas-Rachford splitting method

In this subsection, we consider Douglas-Rachford splitting algorithm for solving (2.1). Given $l\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ and $r\colon \mathcal{H} \to \mathbb{R}$ proper, convex, and lower semincontinuous functions, we assume that $f = l + r$ in (2.1).

**Algorithm 4.** *Let $\{\alpha_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*} \subset ]0,+\infty[$. Let $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$. Given $x_t \in \mathcal{H}$, an iteration of Douglas-Rachford algorithm generates $x_{t+1}$ according to*

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} y_{t+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_t l}(x_t) \\ z_{t+1} = \operatorname{prox}_{\alpha_t r}(2y_{t+1} - x_t), \\ x_{t+1} = x_t + z_{t+1} - y_{t+1}. \end{array}\right. \tag{3.18}$$

The algorithm has been introduced in [14] to minimize the sum of two convex functions, and then has been extended to monotone inclusions involving the sum of two nonlinear operators [19]. A review of this algorithm can be found in [11]. The convergence of the iterates is established using the theory of Fejér sequences [10]. Our objective here is to establish a new result, namely a convergence rate for the objective function values.

**Theorem 3.6.** *Let $\alpha \in ]0,+\infty[$, and let $\theta \in ]0,1[$. For every $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, let $\alpha_t = \alpha t^{-\theta}$. Let $\{(y_t, x_t, z_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ be the sequences generated by Algorithm 4. Assume that there exists $B \in ]0,+\infty[$ such that*

$$(\forall t \in \mathbb{N}^*)(\forall g \in \partial l(y_t) \cup \partial r(z_t) \cup \partial l(x_t) \cup \partial r(x_t)) \quad \|g\| \leq B.$$

*Let $c$ be the function defined in (2.13). Then, for every $T \in \mathbb{N}$, $T > 3$,*

$$f(x_T) - f_* \leq \frac{d(x_1, \mathcal{X})^2}{2\alpha} T^{\theta-1} + 8\alpha B^2 c_{2\theta} (\log T)^{\mathbf{1}_{\{2\theta\leq 1\}}} T^{-\min\{\theta, 1-\theta\}}.$$

*Proof.* Let $t \in \mathbb{N}^*$, set $v = (x_t - y_{t+1})/\alpha_t$ and $w = (2y_{t+1} - x_t - z_{t+1})/\alpha_t$. By Fermat's rule,

$$v \in \partial l(y_{t+1}) \text{ and } w \in \partial r(z_{t+1}). \tag{3.19}$$

We can rewrite (3.18) as

$$\left\{\begin{array}{l} y_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha_t v, \\ z_{t+1} = (2y_{t+1} - x_t) - \alpha_t w, \\ x_{t+1} = x_t + z_{t+1} - y_{t+1}, \end{array}\right. \tag{3.20}$$

Thus

$$x_{t+1} = x_t - \alpha_t(v + w). \tag{3.21}$$

Using (3.21) and expanding $\|x_{t+1} - x\|^2$, we get

$$\|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 = \|x_t - x\|^2 + \alpha_t^2\|v + w\|^2 + 2\alpha_t\langle x - x_t, v\rangle + 2\alpha_t\langle x - x_t, w\rangle. \tag{3.22}$$

Let $u \in \partial l(x_t)$. It follows from (3.19) (3.1) and (3.20) that

$$\begin{aligned} \langle x - x_t, v\rangle &= \langle x - y_{t+1}, v\rangle + \langle y_{t+1} - x_t, v\rangle \\ &\leq l(x) - l(y_{t+1}) - \alpha_t\|v\|^2 \\ &\leq l(x) - l(x_t) + l(x_t) - l(y_{t+1}) \\ &\leq l(x) - l(x_t) + \langle x_t - y_{t+1}, u\rangle \\ &= l(x) - l(x_t) + \alpha_t\langle v, u\rangle \\ &\leq l(x) - l(x_t) + \alpha_t B^2. \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, Let $s \in \partial r(x_t)$. We bound $\langle x - x_t, w\rangle$ as follows

$$\begin{aligned}\langle x - x_t, w\rangle &= \langle x - z_{t+1}, w\rangle + \langle z_{t+1} - x_t, w\rangle \\ &\leq r(x) - r(z_{t+1}) - \alpha_t\langle 2v + w, w\rangle \\ &\leq r(x) - r(x_t) + r(x_t) - r(z_{t+1}) + 2\alpha_t B^2 \\ &\leq r(x) - r(x_t) + \langle x_t - z_{t+1}, s\rangle + 2\alpha_t B^2 \\ &= r(x) - r(x_t) + \alpha_t\langle 2v + w, s\rangle + 2\alpha_t B^2 \\ &\leq r(x) - r(x_t) + 5\alpha_t B^2.\end{aligned}$$

Introducing the above two estimates into (3.22), we get

$$\|x_{t+1} - x\|^2 \leq \|x_t - x\|^2 + 16\alpha_t^2 B^2 \quad + 2\alpha_t(f(x) - f(x_t)).$$

Thus, $\{x_t\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$ is a Super Quasi-Fejér sequence with respect to the target function $f$ and $\{(2\alpha_t, 16\alpha_t^2 B^2)\}_{t\in\mathbb{N}^*}$. The statement follows from Theorem 2.5 with $\theta_1 = \theta$ and $\theta_2 = 2\theta$. □

Again, choosing $\theta = 1/2$, we get a convergence rate $O(T^{-1/2}\log T)$ for the algorithm with nonsummable diminishing stepsizes. Nonergodic convergence rates for the objective function values corresponding to the Douglas-Rachford iteration can be derived by [13, Corollary 3.5], under the additional assumption that $l$ is the indicator function of a linear subspace of $\mathcal{H}$.

# References


[1] Alber, Y. I., Iusem, A. N., & Solodov, M. V. (1998). On the projected subgradient method for nonsmooth convex optimization in a Hilbert space. Mathematical Programming, 81(1), 23-35.

[2] Bauschke, H. H., & Combettes, P. L. (2011). Convex analysis and monotone operator theory in Hilbert spaces. Springer Science & Business Media.

[3] Beck, A., & Teboulle, M. (2009). A fast iterative shrinkage-thresholding algorithm for linear inverse problems. SIAM Journal on Imaging Sciences, 2(1), 183-202.

[4] Bertsekas, D. P. (2011). Incremental proximal methods for large scale convex optimization. Math. Program., Ser. B 129, 163-195.

[5] Boyd, S., Xiao, L., & Mutapcic, A. (2003). Subgradient methods. lecture notes of EE392o, Stanford University, Autumn Quarter, 2004, 2004-2005.

[6] Bredies, K., & Lorenz, D. A. (2008). Linear convergence of iterative soft-thresholding. Journal of Fourier Analysis and Applications, 14(5-6), 813-837.

[7] Chen, G. H., & Rockafellar, R. T. (1997). Convergence rates in forward–backward splitting. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 7(2), 421-444.

[8] Combettes, P. L. (2001). Quasi-Fejérian analysis of some optimization algorithms. Studies in Computational Mathematics 8, 115-152.

[9] Combettes, P.L., & Wajs, V.R.(2005). Signal recovery by proximal forward-backward splitting. Multiscale Model. Simul. 4(4), 1168-1200.

[10] Combettes, P. L. (2009). Fejér monotonicity in convex optimization. In Encyclopedia of Optimization (pp. 1016-1024). Springer US.

[11] Combettes, P. L., & Pesquet, J. C. (2011). Proximal splitting methods in signal processing. In Fixed-point algorithms for inverse problems in science and engineering (pp. 185-212). Springer New York.

[12] Darzentas, J. (1984). Problem complexity and method efficiency in optimization. Journal of the Operational Research Society, 35(5), 455-455.

[13] Davis, D. (2014). Convergence rate analysis of the forward-Douglas-Rachford splitting scheme, SIAM Journal on Optimization (to appear).

[14] Douglas, J., & Rachford, H. H. (1956). On the numerical solution of heat conduction problems in two and three space variables. Transactions of the American mathematical Society, 421-439.

[15] Ermol'ev, Yu. M. and Tuniev, A. D. (1968) Random Fejér and quasi-Fejér sequences, Theory of Optimal Solutions – Akademiya Nauk Ukrainskoĭ SSR Kiev 2 (1968) 76–83; translated in: American Mathematical Society Selected Translations in Mathematical Statistics and Probability 13 (1973) 143–148.

[16] Goffin, J. L. (1977). On convergence rates of subgradient optimization methods. Mathematical Programming, 13(1), 329-347.

[17] Kiwiel, K. C. (2004). Convergence of approximate and incremental subgradient methods for convex optimization. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 14(3), 807-840.

[18] Lin J., Rosasco L., & Zhou D. X. (2015). Iterative regularization for learning with convex loss functions. Arxiv.

[19] Lions, P. L., & Mercier, B. (1979). Splitting algorithms for the sum of two nonlinear operators. SIAM Journal on Numerical Analysis, 16(6), 964-979.

[20] Moreau, J.J. (1962). Fonctions convexes duales et points proximaux dans un espace hilbertien, C. R. Acad. Sci. Paris 255, 2897–2899.

[21] Nedic, A., & Bertsekas, D. P. (2001). Incremental subgradient methods for nondifferentiable optimization. SIAM Journal on Optimization, 12(1), 109-138.

[22] Nedic, A., & Bertsekas, D. (2001). Convergence rate of incremental subgradient algorithms. In Stochastic optimization: algorithms and applications (pp. 223-264). Springer US.

[23] Nesterov, Y. (2004). Introductory lectures on convex optimization (Vol. 87). Springer Science & Business Media.

[24] Passty, G. B. (1979). Ergodic convergence to a zero of the sum of monotone operators in Hilbert space. Journal of Mathematical Analysis and Applications, 72(2), 383–390.

[25] Polyak, B. T. (1987). Introduction to optimization. New York: Optimization Software.

[26] Shor, N. Z. (1979). Minimization Methods for Non-Differentiable Functions. Springer.

[27] Singer, Y., & Duchi, J. C. (2009). Efficient learning using forward-backward splitting. In Advances in Neural Information Processing Systems (pp. 495-503).

[28] Tseng, P. (1991). Applications of a splitting algorithm to decomposition in convex programming and variational inequalities. SIAM Journal on Control and Optimization, 29(1), 119-138.